1 <u>Dow Chemical Co.</u>, 443 F.3d 676, 682 (9th Cir. 2006); <u>Gaus</u>, 980 F.2d at 566-67. "Under the plain 2 terms of § 1441(a), in order properly to remove [an] action pursuant to that provision, [the 3 removing defendant] must demonstrate that original subject-matter jurisdiction lies in the federal courts." Syngenta Crop Protection, 537 U.S. at 33. Failure to do so requires that the case be 4 remanded, as "[s]ubject matter jurisdiction may not be waived, and . . . the district court must 5 remand if it lacks jurisdiction." Kelton Arms Condo, Owners Ass'n v. Homestead Ins. Co., 346 6 7 F.3d 1190, 1192 (9th Cir. 2003). "If at any time before final judgment it appears that the district 8 court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, the case shall be remanded." 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c). It is 9 "elementary that the subject matter jurisdiction of the district court is not a waivable matter and 10 may be raised at anytime by one of the parties, by motion or in the responsive pleadings, or sua 11 sponte by the trial or reviewing court." Emrich v. Touche Ross & Co., 846 F.2d 1190, 1194 n.2 (9th Cir. 1988). 12 13 From a review of the Notice of Removal and the state court records provided, it is evident 14 that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the instant case, for the following reasons. 15 No basis for federal question jurisdiction has been identified: 16 The Complaint does not include any claim "arising under the Constitution, laws, 17 or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 18 Removing defendant(s) asserts that the affirmative defenses at issue give rise to federal question jurisdiction, but "the existence of federal jurisdiction depends 19 solely on the plaintiff's claims for relief and not on anticipated defenses to those 20 claims." ARCO Envtl. Remediation, L.L.C. v. Dept. of Health and Envtl. Quality, 213 F.3d 1108, 1113 (9th Cir. 2000). An "affirmative defense based on federal law" 21 does not "render[] an action brought in state court removable." Berg v. Leason, 32 22 F.3d 422, 426 (9th Cir. 1994). A "case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense . . . even if the defense is anticipated in the plaintiff's 23 complaint, and even if both parties admit that the defense is the only question truly at issue in the case." Franchise Tax Bd. v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 24 463 U.S. 1, 14 (1983). 25 The underlying action is an unlawful detainer proceeding, arising under and 26 governed by the laws of the State of California. 27

28

Acres 1

EDMOUND DAIRE
8212 ENCINO AVE
NORTHRIDGE CA 91325

2015 MAY 28 PM 1:40

SUBSECTION OF SUIT COURT

	CENTIA, DIST. OF CALIF, LOS ANGELES
·	BY
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
PENNYMAC HOLDINGS, LLC	CASE NUMBER
	CV15-04015 RGK (JEN
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER, v.	REQUEST TO PROCEED
CESAR MORALES; and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, DEFENDANT(S).	IN FORMA PAUPERIS WITH DECLARATION IN SUPPORT
correct; that I am the petitioner/plaintiff in the above entitled required to prepay fees, costs or give security therefore, I start said proceedings or to give security therefore and that I am experience and the I am experience.	te that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of
I. Are you presently employed? □Yes ☑No	
,	or wages per month, and give the name and address of your
employer.	
b. If the answer is no, state the date of last employment you received. 16,400 salary 1,450.00 monthly take	and the amount of the salary and wages per month which home April 2008
2. Have you received, within the past twelve months, any m	oney from any of the following sources?
a. Business, profession or form of self-employment?	□Yes ☑No
b. Rent payments, interest or dividends?	□Yes vono
c. Pensions, annuities or life insurance payments?	□Yes ☑No
d. Gifts or inheritances?	□Yes Mo
d. Gitts of finiernances?	restat renat
e. Any other income (other than listed above)?	√Yes □No
e. Any other income (other than listed above)?f. Loans?	□Yes ☑ No
e. Any other income (other than listed above)?	☐Yes ☑No urce of money and state the amount received from each

3.	Do you own any cash, or do you have money in a accounts, if applicable.) □Yes ■No	a checking or savings account? (Include any funds in prison
	If the answer is yes, identify each account and set the six (6) months prior to the date of this declara	parately state the amount of money held in each account for each of tion.
4.		automobiles, or other valuable property (excluding ordinary
	If the answer is yes, describe the property and sta	te its approximate value: BMW 1989 worth \$800.00
5.	In what year did you last file an Income Tax return	rn? <u>2009</u>
	Approximately how much income did your last ta	ax return reflect? about \$1,650.00
6.	List the persons who are dependent upon your for support, state your relationship to those persons, and indicate h much you contribute toward their support: none	
	,	
fur	ther understand that perjury is punishable by a tern 3 U.S.C. Sections 1621, 3571). CA	uestion in this declaration will subject me to penalties for perjury. In of imprisonment of up to five (5) years and/or a fine of \$250,000 Los Angeles
	State	County (or City)
		County (of City)
	EDMOUND DAIRE regoing is true and correct.	, declare under penalty of perjury that the